

Appendix BB

Perris Dam Reconnaissance Study



PERRIS DAM RECONNAISSANCE STUDY

Prepared for

State of California
Department of Water Resources
State Water Project Operations Support Office

Prepared by



Washington Group International

Integrated Engineering, Construction, and Management Solutions

June 30, 2006



Washington Group International

Integrated Engineering, Construction, and Management Solutions

June 30, 2006

Mr. Rich Sanchez, P.E., Manager
Chief, State Water Project Operations Support Office
1416 9th Street, Room 605-2
Sacramento, CA 94236-0001

Subject: Perris Dam Reconnaissance Report

Dear Rich:

We are submitting herewith the Final Report for the subject task. This was undertaken under Task Orders WIS-17 and 20 of our Standard Agreement No. 4600002231.

The report presents the results of the qualitative analysis of the impacts of the selected reservoir options upon a full range of issues that would be affected. The study was done with extensive input from specialists from the DWR, MWD and other stakeholder agencies. The conclusion reached is that the most highly rated option is remediation of the as-designed dam, followed by enlargement of the dam and reservoir to provide a normal operating level of 1640 ft.

We have enjoyed working with you, your staff and others in DWR and appreciate the cooperation provided throughout the assignment. We look forward to continuing participation in remediation and modification of this important facility.

Yours truly,

Joseph L. Ehasz, P.E.
Vice President, Water Resources & Hydroelectric
Washington Group, International

Encl.

Cc: A.W. Pietz
G. Rockwell



PERRIS DAM RECONNAISSANCE STUDY

Prepared for

State of California
Department of Water Resources
State Water Project Operations Support Office

Prepared by



Washington Group International

Integrated Engineering, Construction, and Management Solutions

June 30, 2006



Table of Contents

Executive Summary

1. Authorization
2. Background
3. Remediation and Modification Options
4. Issues
5. Screening Methodology
6. Discussion and Rating of Issues
7. Sensitivity Analysis
8. Conclusions and Recommendations
9. References
10. Acknowledgements
11. Appendices



List of Figures

- 2.1 State Water Project Map
- 2.2 Vicinity Map
- 2.3 Vicinity Photo
- 2.4 Project Map
- 2.5 Main Water Conveyances Picture
- 3.1 Area-Capacity Curves
- 3.2 Dam Cross Section – As-Designed Dam
- 3.3 Arial View of Reservoir
- 3.4 Superimposed Arial Photo – Reservoir at Elev. 1542 ft.
- 3.5 Superimposed Arial Photo – Reservoir at Elev. 1563 ft.
- 3.6 Superimposed Arial Photo – Reservoir at Elev. 1588 ft.
- 3.7 Superimposed Arial Photo – Reservoir at Elev. 1640 ft.
- 3.8 Map Showing Northeast Dam – Reservoir at Elev. 1640 ft.
- 3.9 Superimposed Arial Photo – Reservoir at Elev. 1706 ft.
- 3.10 Map Showing Northeast Dam – Reservoir at Elev. 1706 ft.
- 3.11 Superimposed Arial Photo – Reservoir at Elev. 1752 ft.
- 3.12 Map Showing Northeast Dam – Reservoir at Elev. 1752 ft.
- 3.13 Superimposed Arial Photo – Reservoir at Elev. 1814 ft.
- 3.14 Map Showing Northeast Dam – Reservoir at Elev. 1814 ft.
- 3.15 Dam Cross Section – Reservoir at Elev. 1588 ft.
- 3.16 Dam Cross Section – Reservoir at Elev. 1640 ft.
- 3.17 Dam Cross Section – Reservoir at Elev. 1702 ft.
- 3.18 Dam Cross Section – Reservoir at Elev. 1752 ft.
- 3.19 Dam Cross Section – Reservoir at Elev. 1814 ft.
- 4.1 Recreation Activities
- 4.2 Riparian Areas
- 4.2 Residential Area Downstream of Dam



List of Tables

- 3.1 Data on Reservoir Options
- 3.2 Area and Volume Effects of Northeast Dam
- 6.1 Recreation Text Matrix
- 6.2 Recreation Rating Matrix
- 6.3 Environmental Text Matrix
- 6.4 Environmental Rating Matrix
- 6.5 Property Text and Rating Matrix
- 6.6 Operations Text Matrix
- 6.7 Operations Rating Matrix
- 6.8 Regional Socio-Economic Text Matrix
- 6.9 Regional Socio-Economic Rating Matrix
- 6.10 Water Quality Text and Rating Matrix
- 6.11 Seepage/Groundwater Text and Rating Matrix
- 6.12 Dam Risk and Safety Text Matrix
- 6.13 Dam Risk and Safety Rating Matrix
- 6.14 Permitting Text and Rating Matrix
- 6.15 Legal/Agreements Text and Rating Matrix
- 6.16 Construction Magnitude Text and Rating Matrix
- 6.17 Schedule Text and Rating Matrix
- 6.18 Water Storage Text and Rating Matrix
- 6.19 Summary Rating and Weighting Matrix
- 7.1 Recreation Issues Sensitivity
- 7.2 Environmental Issues Sensitivity
- 7.3 Construction Magnitude Issues Sensitivity
- 7.4 Water Storage Issues Sensitivity
- 7.5 Sensitivity Analysis - Rankings



Abbreviations

A	Acres
AF	Acre-feet
AQMD	Air Quality Management District
CNPS	California Native Plant Society
CRA	Colorado River Aqueduct
CVWD	Coachella Valley Water District
DBW	Department of Boating and Waterways
DC	Devil Canyon
DFG	Department of Fish and Game
DHS	Department of Health Services
DPR	Department of Parks and Recreation
DSOD	Division of Safety of Dams
DVL	Diamond Valley Lake
DWA	Desert Water Agency
DWR	Department of Water Resources
EIR/EIS	Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement
IF	Inland Feeder
ITP	Incidental Take Permit
LPSRA	Lake Perris State Recreation Area
MWD	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
MSHCP	Western Riverside County Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan
RCA	Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority



SAVPL	Santa Ana Valley Pipeline
SKR	Stephens' Kangaroo Rat
SWC	State Water Contractors
SWP	State Water Project
SWPAO	State Water Project Analysis Office
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
T&E	Threatened and Endangered
USACOE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
WGI	Washington Group International